

Diego Rodriguez
1317 Edgewater Drive #5077
Orlando, FL 32804
(208) 891-7728

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

ST. LUKE'S HEALTH SYSTEM, LTD; ST.
LUKE'S REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER,
LTD; CHRIS ROTH, an individual; NATASHA
D. ERICKSON, MD, an individual; and TRACY
W. JUNGMAN, NP, an individual,

Plaintiffs/Respondents,

vs.

DIEGO RODRIGUEZ, an individual,

Defendant/Appellant,

AMMON BUNDY, an individual; AMMON
BUNDY FOR GOVERNOR, a political
organization; FREEDOM MAN PRESS LLC, a
limited liability company; FREEDOM MAN
PAC, a registered political action committee; and
PEOPLE'S RIGHTS NETWORK, a political
organization,

Defendants.

Idaho Supreme Court Case No. 51244-2023


Ada County Case No. CV01-22-06789

**SECOND MOTION FOR EXTENSION
OF TIME**

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Comes now Defendant/Appellant, Diego Rodriguez, a *pro se* appellant, to move this Court pursuant to Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 16(a)(3) for an Order extending the deadline to file the Appellate Brief for a second time which, according to an email I received from the Clerk, is due on September 25th, 2024 (screenshot below):

51244-2023 St. Luke's v. Rodriguez



I am requesting an additional extension of 35 days, as I have learned is the standard timeframe for a second extension.

In addition to the reasoning given previously (namely that *this is a serious, important, and grave case* with consequences that are likewise grave and overwhelming), and the fact that I have been unable to obtain counsel, both because most attorneys are unwilling to take on such a high-profile case and also because I simply cannot afford the high cost of legal representation (which has been quoted as upwards of \$100,000 to \$250,000 or more); these factors mean that I have to function as a *pro se* appellant, while simultaneously attempting to draft an immeasurably difficult Appellate Brief that requires me to review **over 700 documents which have been filed in the court docket, along with evidence, testimony, and more, amounting to well over 20,000 pages of content that I must review in order to properly prepare and submit the Appellate Brief.**

Even after the previous extension, there has simply not been enough time available to do it all. Additionally, I have been unavailable to completely focus my time on the Appellate Brief due to a personal work schedule which took me out of the country briefly, along with the birth of my twin granddaughters.

I therefore humbly request that the court allow me an extension of time to file my Appellate Brief which is otherwise due on September 25th, 2024. An additional extension of time for 35 more days, will cause no material harm to any party involved and will give everyone time (on both sides) to properly research, plan, and file accordingly. Thank you sincerely, for your kind consideration.

DATED: September 20th, 2024

By: /s/ Diego Rodriguez
Diego Rodriguez

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify I served a copy to:

Erik F. Stidham (ISB #5483)
HOLLAND & HART LLP
800 W. Main Street, Suite 1750
Boise, ID 83702-5974

☐ By Mail
☐ By fax
☒ By Email/iCourt/eServe

Ammon Bundy
4615 Harvest Lane
Emmet, ID 83617

☐ By Mail
☐ By fax
☒ By Email/iCourt/eServe

DATED: September 20th, 2024

By: /s/ Diego Rodriguez
Diego Rodriguez